RECUIVED
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

In re application of Robert E. Fischell

Group Art Unit: 335 Examiner: F. Jaworski

Serial No.:

34,155

Filed:

April 27, 1979

For:

Implantable, Programmable Medication

Infusion System

## SECOND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF RESPONSE PERIOD

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Under the provisions of Rule 136(b), Applicant requests a one month extension, until April 3, 1981, to respond to the Office Action dated November 3, 1980.

This second extension is necessitated, to a large extent, by the unavailability of the Applicant to review a draft response prepared in early February. In addition to a period abroad during which the Applicant was not available, the Applicant has (1) made frequent trips to California where the invention is being reduced to the point of practical application by several companies; (2) made public (e.g. television) appearances in the field of this invention; (3) visited various interested and participating Government agencies; and (4) has been involved in acquiring and coordinating sponsorship of the invention. In addition, Applicant has also been actively involved in acquiring and coordinating sponsorship of the invention. Applicant has also been actively involved with patent and development matters in various other biomedical areas which may affect the processing of the present case and related cases to be filed.

Applicant and the attorney who has prepared the draft response have scheduled a meeting for the early part of the first week in March to finalize the response. The requested extension is required for this meeting and for discussing whatever changes to the draft response the Applicant views as appropriate.

The present extension is necessitated by Applicant's desire to provide a full and direct response intended to forward progress in the case.

An extension until April 3, 1981 is thus respectfully requested.

> Respectfully submitted, Robert E. Fischell

By

Robert E. Archibald Attorney of Record Registry No. 20,934

March 2, 1981 Date: CLLP-E81-85

The request does not show that the time already granted has been deligently used no further attentions of home should be tartemplated for